

Report To:	Planning Committee
Date:	17 April 2024
Heading:	PLANNING APPEAL DECISIONS
Executive Lead Member:	COUNCILLOR MATTHEW RELF, EXECUTIVE LEAD MEMBER FOR REGENERATION AND PLANNING
Ward/s:	ANNESLEY & KIRKBY WOODHOUSE, LEAMINGTON
Key Decision:	No
Subject to Call-In:	No

# **Purpose of Report**

To inform Members of recent Planning Appeal Decisions.

## Recommendation(s)

To Note the Appeal Decisions.

# Reasons for Recommendation(s)

To bring to Members attention the recent Appeal Decisions.

# **Alternative Options Considered**

(with reasons why not adopted) N/A

## **Detailed Information**

Planning Application - Appeal Decisions

#### **Annesley and Kirkby Woodhouse**

Planning Application V/2023/0521

Site 7 Roderick Avenue, Kirkby in Ashfield, Nottinghamshire, NG17

9DB

**Proposal** Balcony to Rear **Appeal Decision** Appeal Dismissed

In dismissing the appeal, the Inspector concluded that the proposed first floor balcony would cause significant harm to the living conditions of surrounding occupiers as a result of increased overlooking and loss of privacy.

#### **Leamington**

Planning Application V/2022/0404

Site 71 High Pavement, Sutton in Ashfield, Nottinghamshire, NG17

1BU

**Proposal** Demolition of Existing Commercial Building and Construction of a 3

Storey Apartment Block and 9 Dwellings

Appeal Decision Appeal Dismissed

The Inspector agreed with the Council that it had not been demonstrated that the scheme would provide a safe and suitable access to/from the site, nor had it been demonstrated that manoeuvring space within the site was acceptable based on the layout. The Inspector also considered that the scheme would not provide adequate outdoor amenity space for future occupants of the flats. The Inspector did however consider that the development was acceptable in terms of its design and general layout and would integrate within the context of the site. In dismissing the appeal, the Inspector concluded that together the highway matters and impact on residential amenity were indicative of a scheme that would represent an overdevelopment of the site.

### **Corporate Plan:**

Reporting these decisions ensures we are open and transparent in our decision making process.

## Legal:

Legal issues relating to specific planning appeals are set out in the report. As the report is for noting, there are no legal issues associated with the recommendation in the report.

#### Finance:

Budget Area	Implication
General Fund – Revenue Budget	None
General Fund – Capital Programme	None
Housing Revenue Account – Revenue Budget	None
Housing Revenue Account – Capital Programme	None

#### Risk:

Risk	Mitigation
N/A	N/A

# Human Resources: No implications Environmental/Sustainability

None

**Equalities:** 

None

Other Implications:

None

Reason(s) for Urgency

N/A

Reason(s) for Exemption

N/A

**Background Papers** 

None

**Report Author and Contact Officer** 

Hannah Woods
Major Projects Officer
hannah.woods@ashfield.gov.uk

Sponsoring Executive Director
John Bennet
Executive Director – Place
john.bennet@ashfield.gov.uk